

No More Tears, Terri Austin!



Now you can keep your promise to protect marriage!

6-1 Ruling by Ohio Supreme Court July 25, 2007

OHIO SUPREME COURT SAYS DOMESTIC VIOLENCE LAWS DO NOT CONFLICT WITH THE MARRIAGE AMENDMENT!

In April, 2007 State Representative Terri Austin cast the deciding committee vote **blocking a vote of the full House** on SJR 7 – a bill which would protect Indiana's marriage laws from activist judges, and define it in our Constitution as only between one man and one woman.

Rep. Austin claimed the main reason **she broke her campaign promise to defend traditional marriage** was due to an Ohio court case involving the enforcement of domestic violence statutes.

Despite receiving legal information from some of the nation's top marriage law experts showing that Indiana's Marriage Protection Amendment would NOT conflict with State domestic violence laws, she maintained the Marriage Amendment could end domestic violence protection for unmarried couples. Of course, she didn't attend a single minute of the five hours of committee testimony disproving her position.

Then in a tearful display widely broadcast in the media, **Rep. Austin voted against preventing same-sex marriage.** Well, we have GOOD NEWS Terri Austin. Now you can dry your tears. The Ohio case that had you so worried? Their Supreme Court said there was NO CONFLICT.

Now when the Marriage Amendment is re-introduced you can keep your pledge to protect traditional marriage AND protect couples against domestic violence!

Ask Rep. Austin to keep her promise on marriage.

(SWITCHBOARD)

800-382-9842

(EMAIL)

H36@IN.GOV

American Family Association of Indiana P.A.C.

Paid for by AFA of Indiana